UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/795,837	03/08/2004	James E. Grimm	ZIM0412	1282
John F. Hoffman, Esq. BAKER & DANIELS LLP Suite 800 111 East Wayne Street			EXAMINER	
			COMSTOCK, DAVID C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Wayne, IN 46802			3733	
		·		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		04/06/2007	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/795,837	GRIMM ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	David Comstock	3733
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi	ARANDONED (35.11.5.C. 5.133)
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	•	
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with definition 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner	
	rier. ccepted or b)⊟ objected to	hy the Evaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · ·	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		received in this National Stage
application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie		tropoived
	or or the certified copies 110	rieceiveu.
Mochanicat(a)		
ttachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Λ Π 1.c. ·	Currence (PTO 440)
Notice of Preferences Cited (PTC-692)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413)  s)/Mail Date  Informal Patent Application

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-18, drawn to an implant inserter, classified in class 606, subclass
   99.
- II. Claims 19-21, drawn to a surgical navigation method, classified in class600, subclass 424.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product could be used freehand, without the aid of a navigation system.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/795,837

Art Unit: 3733

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Comstock whose telephone number is (571) 272-4710. Please leave a detailed voice message if examiner is unavailable. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo

Application/Control Number: 10/795,837

Art Unit: 3733

Page 4

Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Comstock

EDUARØØ Ø ROBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER